



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/628,852

07/28/2003

Kathryn M. Taylor

60027.0327US01

5818

39262

7590

09/19/2007

MERCHANT & GOULD BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 2903

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

FRENEL, VANEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/628,852	Applicant(s) TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner Vanel Frenel	Art Unit 3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on 7/28/07. Claims 1-18 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornett et al (5,216,612) in view of Vogler et al (6,681,990).

(A) As per claim 1, Cornett discloses a method of updating inventory data in an inventory management system (See Cornett, Col.23, lines 1-19), the method comprising: in a computer software application, requesting a plurality of inventory models from the inventory management system (See Cornett, Fig.27; Col.27, lines 48-68); selecting at least one model from the plurality of requested inventory models (See Cornett, Col.22, lines 59-68 to Col.23, line 11); generating an inventory update form for each of the plurality of inventory models in the software application program (See Cornett, Fig.12A; Col.25 lines 1-37); populating each inventory update form with updated inventory data in the software application program (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 32-55).

Cornett does not explicitly disclose sending the updated inventory data from the software application program to the inventory management system.

However, this feature is known in the art, as evidenced by Vogler. In particular, Vogler suggests sending the updated inventory data from the software application program to the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.4, lines 44-67 to Col.5, line 14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Vogler within the system of Cornett with the motivation of enabling adaptive real-time inventory management. Instead of receiving periodic reports of aggregated inventory changes, the system enables inventory changes to be reported real-time and without human intervention (See Vogler, Col.2, lines 25-29).

(B) As per claim 2, Cornett discloses the method further comprising: determining the status of the updated inventory data sent to the inventory management system (See Cornett, Col.22, lines 59-68 to Col.23, line 11); and if the status of the updated inventory data is failed, then resending the updated inventory data to the inventory management system (See Cornett, Col.27, lines 61-68 to Col.28, line 21).

(C) As per claim 3, Cornett discloses the method further comprising updating the inventory management system with the updated inventory data (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 32-55).

(D) As per claim 4, Vogler discloses the method wherein updating the inventory management system with the updated inventory data comprises saving the updated inventory data in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.4, lines 64-67 to Col.5, line 4).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(E) As per claim 5, Vogler discloses the method wherein requesting a plurality of inventory models from the inventory management system comprises: logging into the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 10-26); retrieving the plurality of inventory models from a database in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.2; Col.6, lines 1-25); and saving the plurality of inventory models to a file (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 26-49).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(F) As per claim 6, Vogler discloses the method wherein sending the updated inventory data from the software application program to the inventory management system comprises: sending the updated inventory data to an inventory management database (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25); logging into the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.3; Col.6, lines 49-60); and sending the updated inventory data

from the inventory management database to the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.6, lines 56-67).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(G) As per claim 7, Vogler discloses the method wherein the plurality of inventory models comprise default configuration data for inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.3; Col.6, lines 34-38).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(H) As per claim 8, Vogler discloses the method wherein the updated inventory data comprises specific configuration data for inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.3, Col.6, lines 34-38).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(I) As per claim 9, Vogler discloses the method further comprising retrieving, from an external database, provisioning data for the inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.2, Col.6, lines 9-25).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(J) As per claim 10, Cornett discloses a computer system for updating inventory data, the computer system comprising: a remote computer in the computer system for storing a database comprising inventory model data (See Cornett, Col.23, lines 1-37); a network interface (See Cornett, Col.10, lines 1-10); a client computer, in communication with the remote computer over the network interface, the client computer comprising: a memory device for storing a program file (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 55-68).

Cornett does not explicitly disclose a processor, functionally coupled to the memory device, the processor being responsive to computer-executable instructions contained in the program file, wherein the program file comprises a user interface for: requesting the inventory model data from the database; selecting one or more inventory models from the requested inventory model data; generating an inventory update form from the one or more selected inventory models; populating the inventory update form with the updated inventory data; and storing the updated inventory data in the database.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Vogler. In particular, Vogler suggested that a processor, functionally coupled to the memory device, the processor being responsive to computer-executable instructions contained in the program file, wherein the program file comprises a user interface for: requesting the inventory model data from the database (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-49); selecting one or more inventory models from the requested inventory model data (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-29); generating an inventory update form from the one or more selected inventory models (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-52); populating the inventory update form

with the updated inventory data (See Vogler, Col.4, lines 39-43); and storing the updated inventory data in the database (See Vogler, Col.4, lines 44-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Vogler within the system of Cornett with the motivation of enabling adaptive real-time inventory management. Instead of receiving periodic reports of aggregated inventory changes, the system enables inventory changes to be reported real-time and without human intervention (See Vogler, Col.2, lines 25-29).

(K) As per claim 11, Vogler discloses the computer system wherein the inventory model data comprises default configuration data for inventoried equipment stored in the database (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 10-35).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(L) As per claim 12, Vogler discloses the computer system further comprising retrieving, from an external database, provisioning data for the inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.2, Col.6, lines 9-25).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(M) As per claim 13, Cornett discloses a system for updating inventory data in an

inventory management database, the system comprising: a client computer (See Cornett Col.23, lines 1-37); a remote computer (See Cornett, Col.23, lines 1-19); a network connection between the local computer and the remote computer allowing data transfer therebetween (See Cornett, Col.10, lines 1-10).

Cornett does not explicitly disclose wherein the remote computer comprises: an inventory management database for storing inventory model data; and a software application program, residing on the remote computer, for retrieving the inventory model data from the database; wherein the local computer comprises: a user interface for: requesting the software application program to retrieve the inventory model data from the inventory management database; selecting at least one inventory model from the received inventory model data; generating an inventory update form from the at least one selected inventory model; populating the inventory update form with the updated inventory data; and sending the updated inventory data to the inventory management database.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Vogler. In particular, Vogler suggested wherein the remote computer comprises: an inventory management database for storing inventory model data (See Vogler Col.4, lines 1-23); and a software application program, residing on the remote computer, for retrieving the inventory model data from the database (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-52); wherein the local computer comprises: a user interface for: requesting the software application program to retrieve the inventory model data from the inventory management database (See Vogler, Col.6, lines 9-64); selecting at least one inventory model from the received

Art Unit: 3627

inventory model data (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 1-20); generating an inventory update form from the at least one selected inventory model (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-52); populating the inventory update form with the updated inventory data (See Vogler, Col.4, lines 39-43); and sending the updated inventory data to the inventory management database (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Vogler within the system of Cornett with the motivation of enabling adaptive real-time inventory management. Instead of receiving periodic reports of aggregated inventory changes, the system enables inventory changes to be reported real-time and without human intervention (See Vogler, Col.2, lines 25-29).

(N) As per claim 14, Vogler discloses the system wherein the software application program is a screen scraper application program (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 25-49).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(O) As per claim 15, Cornett discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions, which when executed by a computer (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 56-68), populating each inventory update form with updated inventory data (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 32-55); updating the inventory management system with the updated inventory data (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 32-55).

Cornett does not explicitly disclose the steps of: requesting a plurality of inventory models from an inventory management system; selecting at least one of the plurality of inventory models from the inventory management system; generating an inventory update form for each of the selected inventory models; sending the updated inventory data to the inventory management system; and retrieving, from an external database, provisioning data for inventoried equipment in the inventory management system.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Vogler. In particular, Vogler suggested requesting a plurality of inventory models from an inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-52); selecting at least one of the plurality of inventory models from the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.6, lines 9-64); generating an inventory update form for each of the selected inventory models (See Vogler, Col.5, lines 5-52); sending the updated inventory data to the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25); and retrieving, from an external database, provisioning data for inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Vogler within the system of Cornett with the motivation of enabling adaptive real-time inventory management. Instead of receiving periodic reports of aggregated inventory changes, the system enables inventory changes to be reported real-time and without human intervention (See Vogler, Col.2, lines 25-29).

(P) As per claim 16, Vogler discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the plurality of inventory models comprise default configuration data for the inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.3; Col.6, lines 34-38).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(Q) As per claim 17, Vogler discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the updated inventory data comprises specific configuration data for the inventoried equipment in the inventory management system (See Vogler, Fig.3; Col.6, lines 34-38).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Cornett and Vogler are as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, and incorporated herein.

(R) As per claim 18, Cornett discloses a method of updating inventory data in an inventory management system, the method comprising: in a computer software application, requesting a current inventory from the inventory management system (See Cornett, Fig.27; Col.27, lines 48-68); receiving the current inventory from the inventory management system in the software application program (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 34-68); populating the at least one inventory update form with updated inventory data in the software application program (See Cornett, Col.9, lines 32-55).

Cornett does not explicitly disclose generating at least one inventory update form for the current inventory in the software application program; and sending the updated

Art Unit: 3627

inventory data from the software application program to the inventory management system.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Vogler. In particular, Vogler suggested generating at least one inventory update form for the current inventory in the software application program (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25); and sending the updated inventory data from the software application program to the inventory management system (See Vogler, Col.7, lines 4-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Vogler within the system of Cornett with the motivation of enabling adaptive real-time inventory management. Instead of receiving periodic reports of aggregated inventory changes, the system enables inventory changes to be reported real-time and without human intervention (See Vogler, Col.2, lines 25-29).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not the applied prior art teaches computer-assisted parts sales system (5,283,865), vendor-managed inventory method and system (2003/0023503), inventory management (2003/0208417) and Peregrine Systems and Shaman Corporation Form Alliance to Proactively Manage Software Reliability Across The Enterprise by PR Newswire. New York: Sep 8, 1999, pg.1

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zeender Ryan Florian can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Vanel Frenel

Art Unit 3627

September 12, 2007

Application/Control Number: 10/628,852

Art Unit: 3627

Page 14